

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 08/986,606 12/08/97 SLACNE **EXAMINER** HM11/0917 PAPER NUMBER NATHAN H SLOANE 1842 BROOKSIDE DRIVE GERMANTOWN TN 38138 1654 DATE MAILED: 09/17/98 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This action is made final. Responsive to communication filed on_ This application has been examined month(s), ___ days from the date of this letter. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. Claims are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims 2. Claims 3. Claims 5. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. _. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). _____. has (have) been approved by the 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ____ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). ____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _ 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received □ been filed in parent application, serial no. ______; filed on _ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other

Application/Control Number: 08/986,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

1. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

2. Claims 1 and 3 provides for the use of the 16 L-amino acid ANUP peptide, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - (1) The terminology "(using the human breast tumor cell as a model)" is indefinite. The parenthesis render the terminology indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 08/986,606

Art Unit: 1654

- (2) The terminology "antineoplastic protein" has been cited as "antineoplastic urinary protein" in the prior art. Which is correct?
 Please set forth the correct terminology.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- 5. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ridge et al.

Ridge et al teaches the N-terminal amino acid sequence of the Antineoplastic urinary protein (ANUP). The sequence is pyroglu-Leu-Lys-X-Tyr-Thr-X-Lys-Glu-Pro-Met-Thr-Ser (thr)-Ala-Ala.... The peptide was found to be a biologically active <u>in vitro</u> anti-tumor agent.

The prior art reference anticipates the instant invention 16 L-amino acid peptide (ANUP) which acts as a pharmacologically active antitumor agent.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avis Davenport whose telephone number is (703) 308-4002. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on (703) 308-0254. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Application/Control Number: 08/986,606

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

A. Davenport:jmr

Sept. 16, 1998

Page 4